## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

SCOTTSDALE INSURANCE COMPANY,

Plaintiff,

VS.

Case No. 13-cv-1137-JPG-PMF

GARY WAGONER and NICOLE HUBER,

Defendants.

## MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

This matter comes before the Court on its own initiative for purposes of case management. Specifically, the Court questions whether it has jurisdiction over this matter.

Federal courts are courts of limited jurisdiction. They may exercise jurisdiction only over matters authorized by the Constitution and by statute. *Turner/Ozanne v. Hyman/Power*, 111 F.3d 1312, 1316 (7th Cir. 1997). Moreover, federal courts must police the boundaries of their own jurisdiction. Even absent an objection by a party challenging jurisdiction, they are "obliged to inquire *sua sponte* whenever a doubt arises as to the existence of federal jurisdiction." *Tylka v. Gerber Prods. Co.*, 211 F.3d 445, 448-49 (7th Cir. 2000) (quoting *Mt. Healthy City Bd. of Educ. v. Doyle*, 429 U.S. 274, 278 (1977)). As such, this Court conducts a rigorous initial review of complaints to ensure that jurisdiction has been properly pled.

Federal courts have jurisdiction over a civil action between citizens of different states. 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a)(1) (2006). Citizenship is not synonymous with residence. *Meyerson v*. *Harrah's E. Chi. Casino*, 299 F.3d 616, 617 (7th Cir. 2002). Here, Plaintiff has pleaded the residence, rather than the citizenship, of the Defendants. *See Heinen v. Northrop Grumman Corp.*, 671 F.3d 669, 670 (7th Cir. 2012) ("[R]esidence may or may not demonstrate citizenship, which depends on domicile . . . . An allegation of 'residence' is therefore deficient.").

Case 3:13-cv-01137-JPG-PMF Document 6 Filed 11/14/13 Page 2 of 2 Page ID #37

Accordingly, the Court ORDERS Plaintiff to file an amended complaint correcting these

and any other jurisdictional defects by November 29, 2013. See Tylka, 211 F.3d at 448 ("[I]t is

not the court's obligation to lead counsel through a jurisdictional paint-by-numbers scheme.").

Should it fail to do so, the Court will dismiss this matter for lack of subject matter jurisdiction.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

**DATED:** November 14, 2013

s/ J. Phil Gilbert

J. PHIL GILBERT **DISTRICT JUDGE** 

2